

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,953	09/29/2003	Gregory D. Dietz	1792.001US1	5273	
	7590 10/04/200 TENT LAW FIRM, P.		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 11358			JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
ST PAUL, MN	55111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/674,953
 DIETZ, GREGORY D.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Stephen M. Johnson
 3641

	Stephen M. Johnson	3641				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Stephen M. Johnson.	(3) John Nixon.					
(2) Charles A. Lemaire.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 October 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: claims 1, 3, and 19.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Pohl, King, and Herter et al.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	i)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. A proposed amended was presented. Discussions of the newly proposed claim language treats with regard to the above prior art. The examiner suggested claim language that if added to claim 19 would read over the above prior art.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THINTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Stephen M. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36	641				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi					

| Nu. | Patent and Trademark Office | PTOL -413 (Rev. 04-03) | Interview Summary | Paper No. 20071002

Attachment to a signed Office action.